MAS439 Lecture 7 Isomorphism Theorem

October 18th

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Last week we motivated and defined the quotient ring R/I, proved it was a ring, and looked at some examples, and talked about the Universal property of R/I, without proving it. Today is centred around the first isomorphism theorem, which states that for any homomorphism

 $\varphi: R \to S$, $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi) \cong R / \ker(\varphi)$.

The Universal Property for Quotient rings

Suppose that $\varphi : R \to S$ is a ring homomorphism such that $I \subset \ker(\varphi)$, and let $p : R \to R/I$ be the quotient map. Then there exists a unique ring homomorphism $\overline{\varphi} : R/I \to S$ satisfying $\varphi = \overline{\varphi} \circ p$.

Put another way

The following diagram commutes:



What the universal property "really means"

Universal property as a slogan:

Maps out of R/I are the same thing as maps out of R whose kernel contains I

This property *defines* the quotient ring R/I.

Categorical thinking as a slogan:

Understand an object by understanding how it relates to other objects. As an example, if you know all the maps out of an object, you know the object.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Proof of the Universal Property

Uniqueness of $\overline{\varphi}$:

If $[r] \in R/I$, we want to know $\overline{\varphi}([r])$. Noting that [r] = p(r), we see that having $\varphi = \overline{\varphi} \circ p$ is equivalent to:

$$\overline{\varphi}([r]) = \overline{\varphi}(p(r)) = \varphi(r)$$

Thus, we take as a definition $\overline{\varphi}([r]) := \varphi(r)$ to guarantee $\varphi = \overline{\varphi} \circ p$.

What's left?

- Show $\overline{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism;
- ► We are defining what \(\overline{\varphi}\) in terms of representatives, so we must show it's well defined.

Proof of the Universal Property

$\overline{\varphi}$ is a ring homomorphism:

We check addition:

$$\overline{\varphi}([s] + [r]) = \overline{\varphi}([r+s])$$
$$= \varphi(r+s)$$
$$= \varphi(r) + \varphi(s)$$
$$= \overline{\varphi}([r]) + \overline{\varphi}([s])$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Multiplication and unit are similar.

Proof of the Universal Property

$\overline{\varphi}$ is well defined:

Suppose that $r \sim s$; we must show $\overline{\varphi}([s]) = \overline{\varphi}([r])$, i.e., that $\varphi(r) = \varphi(s)$. But $r \sim s$ means r = s + i for $i \in I$, so

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \varphi(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{i}) = \varphi(\mathbf{s}) + \varphi(\mathbf{i}) = \varphi(\mathbf{s})$$

since $I \subset \ker(\varphi)$.

To prove the isomorphism theorem, we are going to use the following two facts we've already seen:

Any ring homomorphism φ : R → S factors as the surjection from φ : R → Im(φ) and the inclusion i : Im(φ) → S

• A homomorphism φ is injective if and only if ker $(\varphi) = 0$.

Isomorphism Theorem Restated

Any ring homomorphism $\varphi:R\to S$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$\varphi = i \circ \overline{\varphi}' \circ p$$

where

• $p: R \to R / \ker \varphi$ is the quotient map

• $\overline{\varphi}' : R / \ker(\varphi) \to \operatorname{Im}(\varphi)$ is an isomorphism

• $i: \operatorname{Im}(\varphi) \to S$ is the inclusion



Proof of the First isomorphism theorem

From the toolshed, we have a surjective map $\tilde{\varphi} : R \to \text{Im}(\varphi)$ with $\varphi = i \circ \tilde{\varphi}$. That is, we have the upper right triangle commutes:



Furthermore, since i is injective, we have ker $\tilde{\varphi} = \ker i \circ \varphi = \ker \varphi$

Proof of the first isomorphism theorem



To get the bottom triangle, we apply the universal property of $R/\ker \varphi$ to $\tilde{\varphi}$ to construct the map $\overline{\varphi}'$.

- Bottom triangle commutes by universal property
- $\overline{\varphi}'$ surjective since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is
- $\overline{\varphi}'$ injective since:

$$\overline{\varphi}'([r]) = \mathsf{0} \iff \widetilde{\varphi}(r) = \mathsf{0} \iff r \in \ker(\widetilde{\varphi}) \iff r \sim \mathsf{0}_R$$

Application of Isomorphism theorem: $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2+1) \cong \mathbb{C}$

Evaluation at i gives a map

$$f: \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{C} \qquad f: p \mapsto p(i)$$

▶ We have
$$x^2 + 1 \in \ker(f)$$
, and so by definition $(x^2 + 1) \in \ker(f)$

▶ By universal property, get a map $\overline{f}: R[x]/(x^2+1) \to \mathbb{C}$

- ► First isomorphism theorem says this map is an ≅ if ker(f) = (x² + 1)
- ▶ If $g \notin (x^2 + 1)$, can see $g \notin ker(f)$ using division algorithm:

$$g = (x^2 + 1)p(x) + ax + b \implies f(g) = ai + b$$

The pullback of an ideal is an ideal

Lemma

Let $f : R \to S$ a map, $I \subset S$ an ideal. Then $f^{-1}(I) \subset R$ an ideal

Proof.

Suppose a, $b \in f^{-1}(I)$, $r \in R$

- $f^{-1}(I)$ is nonempty since it contains 0.
- We have $a + b \in f^{-1}(I)$ since

$$f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) \in I$$

• We have $r \cdot a \in f^{-1}(I)$ since

$$f(ar) = f(a)f(r) \in I$$

Lemma If $I \subset J \subset R$ are two ideals, then

$$J/I = \{[r] \in R/I : r \in J\}$$

is an ideal in R/I.

Proof.

Need to check:

- ▶ Well defined: i.e., if $[r_1] = [r_2]$ then $[r_1] \in J/I \iff [r_2] \in J/I$.
- nonempty
- Closed under addition
- closed under multiplication by elements of R/I.

The lemmas give us maps back and forth between ideals of R containing I and ideals of R/I:

- If $K \subset R/I$ an ideal, then $I \subset p^{-1}(K) \subset R$ an ideal.
- If $I \subset J \subset K$, then J/I an ideal of R/i.

Lemma

The above maps are inverse

The fact that $p^{-1}(J/I) = J$ is exactly the definition. Now suppose $K \subset R/I$ an ideal; we must show $p^{-1}(K)/I = K$.

- If $[a] \in p^{-1}(K)$, then $a \in p^{-1}(K)$, so $p(a) = [a] \in K$.
- ▶ If $[a] \in K$, then $a \in p^{-1}(K)$, and so $[a] \in p^{-1}(K)/I$

A corollary

Lemma

If R is a principal ideal domain, then R/I is a principal ideal domain.

Proof.

Suppose that KR/I is an ideal. Then K is of the form J/I for some ideal $I \subset J \subset R$. Since R is a principal ideal domain, J = (r). But then ([r]) generates J/I.

Since \mathbb{Z} is a principal ideal domain, we have \mathbb{Z}/k is.

Third isomorphism theorem

Theorem If $I \subset J \subset R$ ideals, then $R/J \cong (R/I) \cong (J/I)$

Proof.

We construct a map $f : R/J \to R/I$ by taking $f([r]_{R/J}) = [r]_{R/I}$. Need to check:

- Well defined
- Surjective
- ring homomorphism

$$\blacktriangleright \ker(f) = J/I$$

Then it follows from first isomorphism theorem.

Examples

• What do we get from $(2) \subset (8) \subset \mathbb{Z}$?

 $(\mathbb{Z}/8)/(2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ $\blacktriangleright \text{ What do we get from } (2) \subset (2, x^2 + x + 1) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x]?$ $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2, x^2 + x + 1) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2))/(2, x^2 + x + 1)$ $\cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^2 + x + 1)$ $\cong \mathbb{F}_4$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <