Consequences of Cauchy's Theorem

Theorem (Cauchy's Theorem)

Suppose the function f is analytic on a simply connected region D. Then $\int_{\gamma} f dz = 0$ for all contours γ in D.

Corollary (Independence of Path)

Let f be analytic on a simply-connected region D and let γ_1 and γ_2 be any two paths in D from a to b. Then:

$$\int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz$$

Proof.

If we do γ_1 then γ_2 backwards, we get a contour, and can apply Cauchy.

Applications of path independence

Example (8.4)

Let γ be any path from -i to i that cross \mathbb{R} only between -1 and 1. Evaluate $\int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{1-z^2}$.

Cauchy and ML: two great tastes that taste great together

Example (8.5)

Let α be any path in $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 2\}$. Find B so that

$$\left|\int_{\alpha}\frac{\sinh(z)}{9+e^{z}}dz\right|\leq B.$$

Application of Cauchy's Theorem: existence of primitives

I claimed that whenever Cauchy's Theorem applied, f has a primitive. More precisely:

Lemma

Let D be a simply connected domain, and let f be analytic on D. Let $p \in D$ be any point, and define a function F on D by $F(z) = \int_{\alpha} f(z)dz$ where α is any path in D from p to z. Then for any path $\gamma : [a, b] \to D$ we have

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a))$$

Furthermore, F is analytic on D, and F' = f.

Too many memes are sexist/heteronormative. Sorry.

You
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt = F(b) - F(a)$$

The guy she tells you
not to worry about
$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = F(\gamma(b)) - F(\gamma(a))$$

The guy she tells him not to worry about

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

The last formula is Stoke's Theorem, which generalizes Green's theorem to higher dimensions.

Section 9: Cauchy's Integral Formula and Consequences

Theorem (Cauchy's integral formula)

Let γ be a simple contour described in the positive direction. Let w lie inside γ . Suppose that f is analytic on a simply connected region D containing γ and its interior. Then:

$$f(w)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}\frac{f(z)}{z-w}dz.$$

Sketch of proof

1. Theorem 9.1 "Deforming contours": replace γ with $w + \varepsilon e^{2\pi i t}$ and take $\varepsilon \to 0$ 2.

?????
$$\frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w}$$
 ????

3. Profit Prove the Theorem

Theorem 9.1 Deforming Contours

Theorem

Let γ be a simple contour described in the positive direction. Let z_0 be a point inside γ , and let C be another simple contour in positive direction, contained entirely inside γ . Suppose that f is analytic on a region D which contains γ , C and all points in between. Then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{C} f(z) dz$$

- Crucially, D need not be simply connected
- Proof: join C and γ together by two paths, and rearrange contours to apply Cauchy.