

# Today: Consequences of the Cauchy-Riemann Equations

We ended last time by proving:

## Theorem (Cauchy-Riemann Equations)

For  $z = x + iy$ , write  $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  with  $u, v$  real.

Then if  $f$  is differentiable at  $z_0$ , we have:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad \text{at } z_0$$

Today: what does this tell us about  $f$ ?

1. Silly, but easy to examine: If  $u, v$  are related in any other way,  $f$  is *highly* constrained
2. Important, but not traditionally examined:  $f$  is a *conformal mapping*
3. Important, examined: The real and imaginary parts of  $f$  are *harmonic*

## Section 5.8: When $u$ and $v$ are related

- ▶ Cauchy-Riemann relates between  $\operatorname{Re}(f)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(f)$
- ▶ If we have more relations, then  $f$  is *very* constrained

### Example (Similar to those in notes)

Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable on a connected domain, and that its real and imaginary parts satisfy  $u = v^2$ . Prove that  $f$  is constant.

## Holomorphic functions are conformal maps

In MAS211 you looked at the derivative of a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  as a linear map  $Df : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , and hence as a matrix. The entries are the partial derivatives, so for  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$Df = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$$

If  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is differentiable at  $z_0$ , this linear map corresponds to multiplication by the complex number  $f'(z_0) = a + bi$ . The Cauchy-Riemann equations just enforce this:

$$Df(z_0) = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence the derivative is a rotation + a scaling, and *preserves angles*. Such a map from  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is called *conformal*.

## Motivation for harmonic functions: important PDEs

The Laplacian operator, written  $\nabla^2$  or  $\Delta$ , acts on functions  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\nabla^2 g = \nabla \cdot \nabla g = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}$$

and occurs in many PDEs important in applied math.

### Examples

Let  $f(x, y, t)$  be a function of two space variables and one time variable.

- ▶ The heat equation  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \nabla^2 f$
- ▶ The wave equation  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2} = \nabla^2 f$

A steady state solution to either of these equations would be  $\nabla^2 f = 0$ .

# Harmonic Functions

## Definition

A function  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *harmonic* if  $\nabla^2 f = 0$

## Lemma

Let  $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  be analytic on a domain  $D$ . Then  $u$  and  $v$  are harmonic on  $D$

## Proof.

Cauchy-Riemann equations + mixed partials are equal. □

This gives us lots of harmonic functions.

## Does this give us *all* harmonic functions?

Given a harmonic function  $u(x, y)$  on a domain, is it the real part of an analytic function  $f(z)$ ?

Yes, on a *simply-connected* domain.

'From now on, we treat  $f$  as the main function, and do not split into  $\text{Re}(f)$  and  $\text{Im}(f)$ '



Me learning complex analysis:

# When is $u$ are the real part of analytic functions?

From the 2012-2013 exam

(iii) Find all the functions  $k$  analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(k(x+iy)) = 2x - \sinh x \sin y$ , giving an explicit expression for  $k(z)$  in terms of  $z$ . Show that you have found **all** the functions satisfying the above conditions. (6 marks)

The real part of an analytic function is harmonic

First, check  $\nabla^2 u = 0$ . If not, the answer is no.

If it is, find  $f'$  using Cauchy-Riemann

$$f' = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( u(x, y) + iv(x, y) \right) = u_x + iv_x = u_x - iu_y$$

This gives us  $f'$  in terms of  $x$  and  $y$ . We'd *like* to write  $f'$  in terms of  $z$ , and integrate to find  $f$ . But how?

Maybe we need a clever little trick....

## Dr. Hart's "Clever little trick"

Given:

We know  $f'$  in terms of  $x$  and  $y$ , want it terms of  $z$ .

Guess:

Set  $y = 0$ ; to get  $f'(x)$  in terms of just  $x$ . Integrate to get  $f(x)$ .

Guess that this is actually formula for  $f(z)$

Check:

Show that  $\operatorname{Re}(f) = u(x, y)$

To find *all* such  $k$ :

Lemma

*Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are analytic on a region  $D$  and that  $\operatorname{Re}(f) = \operatorname{Re}(g)$  on  $D$ . Then  $f = g + ia$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .*